

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/400,769

Applicant(s)
Helmerhorst et al.

Examiner
HOPE ROBINSON

Art Unit
1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hope Robinson

(3) Mark Hopkins

(2) Karen Carlson

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 24, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1, 11, 16

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Informed Mr. Hopkins that the proposed amendment was insufficient as claim 1 was not amended. In a discussion with Primary Examiner Karen Carlson it was decided that the rejections of record over claim 1 would be maintained for the reasons of record. Primary Examiner Carlson drafted two claims (see the attached sheet) which were offered to applicant to use as a template to generate claims to obviate the rejections of record. Mr. Hopkins requested a fax of the proposed claims for consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, Ph.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Proposed claims:

A method for treating a patient suffering from hyperglycemia, which method comprises the step of: administering to a patient in need thereof a therapeutically effective amount of a non-peptidyl compound which possesses one or more ionic and hydrophobic chemical moieties spatially located so as to mimic the spatial location of at least an ionic or a hydrophobic amino acid residue of insulin, wherein said compound is an insulin receptor agonist.

A method for treating a patient suffering from hypoglycemia, which method comprises the step of: administering to a patient in need thereof a therapeutically effective amount of a non-peptidyl compound which possesses one or more ionic and hydrophobic chemical moieties spatially located so as to mimic the spatial location of at least an ionic or a hydrophobic amino acid residue of insulin, wherein said compound is an insulin receptor antagonist.